UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,203	10/27/1999	CHRISTOPHER O. OKUNJI	003/172/SAP	4366
ELIZABETH A	7590 11/16/200 A. ARWINE	EXAMINER		
USAMRMC FORT DETRICK BUILDING 521 FREDERICK, MD 21701			FLOOD, MICHELE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/428,203	OKUNJI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MICHELE FLOOD	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ju</u>	dv 2008						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<u> </u>	,—						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	n parte gaayre, 1000 c.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
,)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11,30 and 38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11,30 and 38</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11,30 and 38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/428,203 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on July 24, 2009, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Terry A. McKelvey/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1655

Application/Control Number: 09/428,203 Page 3

Art Unit: 1655

For the purpose of expeditious prosecution, the amendment filed on July 24, 2009 in the appeal brief after final has been entered. Acknowledgment is made of the cancellation of Claims 2-10, 12-29 and 31-35.

Claims 1, 11, 30 and 38 are under examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is an apparent omission of an ampersand in line 4 of Claim 30. Applicant may overcome the objection by adding <u>and</u>, before "wherein", in line 4 of Claim 30.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 11, 30 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kapundu et al. (Kapundu et al. Phytochemistry (1980); 19(4): 615-622. New triterpenoids from *Napoleonaea imperialis*.). Newly applied.

Applicant claims a biologically active extract comprising a fractionated extract from *Napoleonaea imperialis*, wherein said extract is obtained using an organic solvent;

and wherein said biologically active is saponin-enriched and exhibits anti-leishmanial activity. Applicant further claims a biologically active extract according to claim 1, wherein said solvent is methanol, wherein said extract is obtained directed from solvent extraction of powdered seeds of said plant utilizing said solvent. Applicant further claims a biologically active extract according to claim 11, wherein said solvent is methanol.

Kapundu teaches a methanol extract from powdered seeds of Napoleonaea imperialis, on page 615, Column 2, lines 11-12. Kapundu also teaches that the methanolic powdered seed extract of the claim-designated plant comprises saponin. For instance, on page 615, last line bridging page 616, line 1, Kapundu teaches extracting the seeds of Napoleonaea imperialis with methanol and adding water to the methanolic extract to precipitate a saponin, which is separated by filtration. Applicant may argue that Kapundu does not teach that the prior art methanolic plant extract as a biologically active extract which exhibits therapeutic anti-leishmanial activity. However, the claimdesignated functional effect is considered inherent to the extract taught by Kapundu because the source of the plant, the particular plant material from the source plant, and the solvent used in the making of the plant extract taught by Kapundu are one and the same as disclosed by Applicant. Therefore, a biologically active saponin-enriched extract comprising a fractionated methanol extract from powdered seeds of Napoleonaea imperialis, which exhibits therapeutic anti-leishmanial activity is deemed inherent to the Kapundu' extract. Thus, the Office would not be persuaded by Applicant's argument.

The reference anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHELE FLOOD whose telephone number is (571)272-0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele Flood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655 Page 5

MCF October 27, 2009

/Michele Flood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655